Appendix 2

Summary of Consultation Responses

The response received from Environmental Health Officer James Gardham was administrative to reflect Regulatory Services as a whole in the policy as opposed to individual Officers.

Clarity was also sought regarding the review process.

Punch Taverns responded to the consultation and commented on the policy as a whole stating that the detail included in the policy is welcomed. They requested links to be added to integrated strategies, wider policies and the Regulators Code as well as making clear both the positive and negative elements of all licensable activities to give a balanced overview.

They also requested that the policy recognise that when considering evidence to promote the prevention of crime and disorder, the licensing authority's expectation is that only evidence which is directly linked to the licensable activities provided within a premise will be considered.

Concerns were raised by Punch in relation to conditions of licence involving CCTV and the implications of GDPR and they also suggest that our policy should reflect the need for public nuisance to be demonstrated and that conditions imposed relate specifically to public nuisance.

Punch raised further concerns in relation to risk assessments provided by applicants and the Public Health considerations referred to in the policy when Public Health is not a licensing objective. Additional concern was raised in relation to licence conditions and their prevalence of use and Cumulative Impact Zones and how the policy recognises this position is currently under review and needs to considered carefully.